about 2.5 to 50 mg. of a diuretic selected from the group consisting of chlorothiazide, hydrochlorothiazide, amiloride, flumethiazide, hydroflumethiazide, bendroflumethiazide, methylclothiazide, trichlormethiazide, polythiazide, benzthiazide, ethacrynic acid, ticrynafen, chlorthalidone, furosemide, bumetanide, triamterene, spironolactone and salts thereof, and a physiologically acceptable carrier therefor.--

Please amend claims 23 and 24 so that they are dependent on claim 26.

Remarks

Entry of the above amendments and the following remarks submitted in accordance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.116 is respectfully requested. The amendments and remarks are responsive to the final rejection of August 21, 1979 and to the phone conversation between Examiner Friedman and Applicants' attorney on September 28, 1979. Examiner Friedman stated that Applicants' first amendment filed September 17, 1979 failed to overcome the rejection of claims 13 and 15 to 20 under 35 U.S.C. 132.

Applicants have amended claim 13 so that the amounts of hypertensive agent and diuretic specified are the same as in the claim as originally filed. These ranges also find basis in the last paragraph of page 4 of the specification. New claim 26 has been added by Applicants. This claim is identical to claim 13 except that it specifies the presence of 5 to 125 mg. of hypertensive agent and 2.5 to 50 mg. of diuretic. Basis for this dosage range can be found on page 5 of the specification (lines 7, 8 and 9) and in original claims 23 and 24. Claims 23 and 24 have been amended so that they are now dependent on claim 26.

Claim 25 has been placed in independent form.

Entry of the above amendments and allowance of the application as it now stands is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Donald J. Barrack

DJB/lm
(609)921-4328